

My friend, the Republican Senator from West Virginia, has said: "As a person who represents an almost all-rural State . . . I'm concerned about how we are going to be able to incent the private dollars to go to the less-populated, less-economically developed areas of our country, because the investments are just as important."

The bottom line is this, an investment bank infrastructure plan like the one the President is proposing is a sure loser in Congress. A Goldman Sachs infrastructure plan just will not work, except for a few. It would turn over a public good to the whims of private finance, who will not build infrastructure where America needs it. They will build it where they can make a buck, and that means tolls paid by working Americans and middle-class Americans. That means rural areas will not get the support they need. That means any project that can't generate user fees or taxes—like repairing our schools or water sewer systems—will not get done.

There is no free lunch. When the private sector wants to finance infrastructure, they naturally—that is our free enterprise system—want to get repaid, but who is going to repay them? The average American: the truckdriver who is scratching out a living, the salesman or saleswoman who is scratching out a living, the family who is going on vacation and has to stop every 30 miles for another big toll, the small business that depends on roads to get the goods to and from that business location.

If the President truly wants to rebuild our Nation's infrastructure, he has to approach this issue in a bipartisan way. There are several Republicans who don't want the Federal Government to spend any more money on infrastructure, but the majority of Senators of both parties probably do. The President needs to sit down with Democrats and work something out if he wants to get something done. He hasn't sat down with Democrats. He doesn't seem to want to. There are even reports that the President is considering doing infrastructure on reconciliation. That means just Republican votes, a huge mistake.

Republicans have been tied in a knot here in Washington. The President has been tied here in a knot in Washington because he insists on going at it alone.

Look at the entire Trump administration agenda. President Trump ran against both the Democratic and Republican establishments—a populist, if you will, but he has thrown his lot, since he has become President, with hard-right conservatives and is now pursuing an agenda entirely through the partisan process Republicans once decried—healthcare, reconciliation; taxes, the same. Now infrastructure? The one area where we kept the President out of it, the appropriations process worked swimmingly well. Leader MCCONNELL and I, Senators COCHRAN and LEAHY, and the House Members got together in a bipartisan way and we

worked it out. We each thought we had some victories. It worked, but I had to stand at this desk and tell our Republican colleagues to keep the President out of it because it will bullocks everything up. Fortunately, they did. Maybe we can do that again.

I would say to the President: Mr. President, you can spend your entire first-term agenda trying to jam through partisan bills. That would be a shame because America needs to get moving again. On infrastructure, this is an issue where we really have some common ground. That is why Senate Democrats put forward a trillion-dollar infrastructure plan that would create millions of jobs and actually fix our crumbling roads and bridges, invest in every corner of America, with particular attention to rural America.

We stand ready and willing to work with the President on that plan or something similar that actually achieves what he promised on the campaign trail.

HEALTHCARE LEGISLATION

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, another matter: healthcare. According to reports, Republican Senators were planning to use the State work period last week to rewrite their healthcare bill. Well, now we are back in session, and unfortunately my friends on the other side of the aisle don't seem to be any closer to having a bill. If they do have one, they are hiding it and going down the same path as House Republicans—drafting a bill that will impact tens of millions in secret, no transparency, no committee hearings, no debate.

Even with all this secrecy, more and more Republicans seem increasingly pessimistic about finding a Republican-only bill that can get 50 votes in the Senate. Over the weekend, the senior Republican Senator from North Carolina, Mr. BURR, said: "I don't see a comprehensive health-care plan this year."

Just yesterday, Senator THUNE, a member of the Republican leadership, said the Republicans may rush a healthcare bill to the floor before they know if it has the support of their caucus.

Well, my friends on the other side of the aisle are learning how difficult it is to refigure our healthcare system under a process with only votes from one party—the so-called reconciliation process—and do it in a way that actually improves our healthcare, not devastate it, as the House bill would.

I hope my Republican friends will realize the only way we will get votes necessary to pass a healthcare bill is to drop repeal and work with Democrats to improve our healthcare system, not to sabotage it. We stand ready and willing to work with our Republican colleagues to further stabilize the insurance markets, build on the progress we have made in healthcare. In fact, we are running out of time before the 2018 rates are locked in.

Most insurance companies are saying they are raising rates because of the uncertainty Republicans continue to inject into the market. The President has not come out permanently for cost-sharing, which would reduce premiums and keep people in the market. They just sort of do it one at a time, and that is going to make the markets worse.

The public already unfortunately will blame those in charge—our Republican friends and the President—for the mess, as much as they would like to look past—as much of our colleagues on the other side of the aisle want to point fingers. People want something done now. They don't want fingers of blame pointed back at what happened 5 years ago or 8 years ago.

We Democrats don't want to tear everything down and start over again. Let's keep all the progress—the 20 million more Americans insured, the kids who can stay on their parents' plan, the protections for folks with pre-existing conditions—and find ways to make even more progress on bringing down costs for consumers and improving the quality of care.

I yield the floor.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to executive session to resume consideration of the Elwood nomination, which the clerk will report.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the nomination of Courtney Elwood, of Virginia, to be General Counsel of the Central Intelligence Agency.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority whip.

HEALTHCARE LEGISLATION

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, I came to the floor to talk about other matters, and I will get to those in a moment. I can't help but be struck by the Democratic leader's sudden interest in addressing healthcare reform.

It is a fact that even if Hillary Clinton were elected President of the United States, we would be revisiting the failed promises of the Affordable Care Act. For example, premiums, since 2013, have gone up 105 percent in the individual market. Those are people who don't have employer-provided coverage or aren't on Medicare or Medicaid. Small businesses and individuals who have to go out and purchase their